SCHWARTZ, STEINSAPIR, DOHRMANN & SOMMERS LLP

LAURENCE D. STEINSAPIR
RICHARD D. SOMMERS
STUART LIBICKI
MICHAEL R. FEINBERG
MICHAEL D. FOUR
MARGO A. FEINBERG
HENRY M. WILLIS*
D. WILLIAM HEINE
CLAUDE CAZZULINO
TAMRA M. SMITH
ZOE S. MOSKOWITZ
JUDY L. VON ENDE
RICHARD M. SWARTZ
AMY M. CU
LUCAS H. OPPENHEIM
GENING LIAO
JEAN J. KIM

LAWYERS

SUITE 2000 6300 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90048-5268 (323) 655-4700 FAX (323) 655-4488 WEBSITE: WWW.LALABORLAW.COM KENNETH M. SCHWARTZ (1916 - 2008)

ROBERT M. DOHRMANN OF COUNSEL

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA OFFICE MARGO A. FEINBERG (510) 528-4426

> WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS:

December 3, 2012

*MEMBER OF CA AND NV BARS

OL@SSDSLAW.COM

VIA E-MAIL & U.S. MAIL

Executive Secretary National Labor Relations Board 1099 14th Street, N.W., Room 11602 Washington, D.C. 20570

Re:

Request for a Continuance

Cross-Exceptions and Brief in Support of Cross-Exceptions; and

Opposition to Respondent's Exceptions

Ralphs Grocery Company

Case Nos. 31-CA-27160, 31-CA-27475, and 31-CA-27685

Dear Sir or Madame:

As you know, our office represents Charging Parties in the above-captioned matters. Respondent Ralphs Grocery Company filed exceptions to the Honorable William G. Kocol's October 24, 2012, Decision on November 20, 2012. Because of the holiday long-weekend, however, we did not become aware of Respondent's exceptions until Tuesday, November 27, 2012. In light of this delay, Charging Parties request a continuance to and including Tuesday, December 11, 2012, to file Cross-Exceptions and its Brief in Support of Cross-Exceptions, as well as its Opposition to Respondent's Exceptions.

Respondent's counsel, Timothy Ryan, and Rudy Fong-Sandoval, Field Attorney for Region 31 and counsel for the Acting General Counsel for the National Labor Relations Board in this matter do not oppose this continuance.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned with any questions.

Sincerely,

Gening Liao

Second of

GL:dr

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 Ralphs Grocery Company -and-United Food and Commercial Workers Union Locals 135, et al. 3 NLRB Case Nos. 31-CA-27160, 31, CA-27475 & 31-CA-27685 4 DIANE ROSS certifies as follows: 5 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 6300 Wilshire 6 Boulevard, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, California 90048-5268. 7 On December 3, 2012, I served the foregoing document(s) described as 8 REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE, CROSS-EXCEPTIONS AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF CROSS-EXCEPTIONS, AND OPPOSITION TO 9 RESPONDENT'S EXCEPTIONS 10 BY PLACING FOR COLLECTION AND MAILING: By placing a true and correct copy (copies) thereof in an envelope (envelopes) addressed as follows: 11 Rudi L. Fong-Sandoval, Esq. Timothy F. Ryan, Esq. 12 Field Attorney, Region 31 Morrison & Foerster National Labor Relations Board 555 West Fifth Street 13 11150 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 700 Suite 3500 Los Angeles, California 90064-1824 Los Angeles, California 90013-1024 E-mail: Rudy.Fong-Sandoval@nlrb.gov E-mail: tryan@mofo.com Attorneys for Ralphs Grocery Company Counsel for General Counsel 15 16 And by then sealing said envelope(s) and placing it (them) for collection and mailing on that same date following the ordinary business practices of Schwartz, Steinsapir, Dohrmann & 17 Sommers LLP, at its place of business, located at 6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000, Los 18 Angeles, California 90048-5268. I am readily familiar with the business practices of Schwartz, Steinsapir, Dohrmann & Sommers LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for 19 mailing with the United States Postal Service. Pursuant to said practices the envelope(s) would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, with postage thereon fully 20 prepaid, at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage 21 meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in the affidavit. (C.C.P. §1013a(3)) 22 BY E-MAIL: By transmitting a copy of the above-described document(s) via e-mail to 23 the individual(s) set forth above at the e-mail addresses indicated. 24

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 3, 2012, at Los Angeles, California.

25

26

27

28